arXiv:1607.04093v1 [math.AT] 14 Jul 2016

TOPOLOGICAL EQUIVALENCE TO A PROJECTION

V. V. SHARKO AND YU. YU. SOROKA

Abstract. We present a necessary and sufficient condition for the topological equivalence of a continuous function on a plane to a projection onto one of coordinates.

Let M be a connected surface, i.e. 2-dimensional manifold. Two continuous functions $f, g : M \to \mathbb{R}$ are called *topologically equivalent*, if there exist two homeomorphisms $h : M \to M$ and $k : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ such that $k \circ f = g \circ h$.

Classification of continuous functions $f : M \to \mathbb{R}$ on surfaces up to topological equivalence was initiated in the works by M. Morse [6], [7], see also [3, 4, 5]. In recent years essential progress in the classification of such functions was made in [8, 10, 11, 1, 9].

Let $f : \mathbb{R}^2 \to \mathbb{R}$ be a continuous function. Assuming that f "has not critical points" we present a necessary and sufficient condition for f to be topologically equivalent to a linear function. First we recall some definitions from W. Kaplan [2].

Definition 1. [2]. *A **curve** in $\mathbb{R}^2$ is a homeomorphic image of the open interval $(0, 1)$. Let $U \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ be an open subset. A **family of curves** in U is a partition of U whose elements are curves.*

*A family of curves $\Im$ in U is called **regular** at a point $p \in \mathbb{R}^2$, if there exists an open neighbourhood U_p of p and a homeomorphism $\varphi : (0, 1) \times (0, 1) \to U_p$ such that for every $y \in (0, 1)$ the image $\varphi((0, 1) \times y)$ is an intersection of U_p with some curve from the family $\Im$. Such a neighbourhood U_p is called r**-neighbourhood** of p.*

Thus the curves of regular family are "locally parallel", however they global behaviour can be more complicated, see Figure 1. We will consider continuous functions $f : \mathbb{R}^2 \to \mathbb{R}$ whose level-sets are "globally parallel".

One of the basic examples is a projection $g : \mathbb{R}^2 \to \mathbb{R}$ given by $g(x, y) = y$. Its level sets are parallel lines $y = \text{const}$, and in particular they constitute a regular family of curves.

On the other hand, consider the function $f(x, y) = \arctan(y - \text{tg}^2(x))$, see Figure 1. It level sets are not connected, however, the partition into *connected components* of level sets of f is also a regular family of curves.



Figure 1. Level lines of $f(x, y) = \arctan(y - \text{tg}^2(x))$

Received by the editors 05/11/2014.
2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary ; Secondary .
Key words and phrases. topological equivalence, a linear function.

The following theorem shows that connectedness of level sets is a characteristic property of a projection.

Theorem 1. *Let $f : \mathbb{R}^2 \to \mathbb{R}$ be a continuous function and $\Im = \{f^{-1}(a) \mid a \in \mathbb{R}^2\}$ be the partition of $\mathbb{R}$ by level sets of f. Suppose the following two conditions hold.*

(1) *For each $p \in f(\mathbb{R}^2)$ belonging to the image of f, the corresponding level set $f^{-1}(a)$ is a **curve**. In particular, it is path connected.*
(2) *The family of curves $\Im$ is regular.*

Then the image $f(\mathbb{R}^2)$ is an open interval (a, b), $a, b \in \mathbb{R} \cup \pm\infty$, and there is a homeomorphism $\varphi : \mathbb{R} \times (a, b) \to \mathbb{R}^2$ such that $f \circ \varphi(x, y) = y$. In other words, f is topologically equivalent to a projection.

The proof is based on the results of [2].

Let $\Im$ be a regular family of curves in $\mathbb{R}^2$. Then by [2, Theorem 16], each curve C of $\Im$ is a proper embedding of $\mathbb{R}$, so it has an infinity as a sole limit point. It follows from Jordan's theorem (applied to the sphere $S^2 = \mathbb{R}^2 \cup \infty$) that each curve C of $\Im$ divides the plane into two distinct regions, having C as the common boundary. This property allows to define the following relation for curves on $\Im$.

Definition 2. *Three distinct curves K, L, C from $\Im$ are **in the relation** $K|C|L$, if K and L belong to distinct components of $\mathbb{R}^2 \setminus C$.*

For an open subset $U \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ let $\Im_U$ be the partition of U by connected components of intersections of U with curves from $\Im$. Then $\Im_U$ is a family of curves in U. Notice also that an intersection of U with some curve from $\Im$ may have even countable many connected components.

Definition 3. *Let $p, q \in \mathbb{R}^2$. An **arc** $[p, q]$, i.e. homeomorphic image of $[0, 1]$, connecting these points, will be called a **cross-section** relative to $\Im$ if there exists an open set U in $\mathbb{R}^2$ containing $[p, q]$ and such that each curve of $\Im_U$ meets $[p, q]$ in U at most once.*

Evidently, for every $p \in \mathbb{R}^2$, there is an arbitrary small r-neighbourhood V of p and a cross-section $[q, s] \subset V$ relative $\Im$ passing through p.

Theorem 2. [2] *Let K, L be two distinct curves from a regular family $\Im$. Suppose two points $p \in L$ and $q \in K$ can be connected by a cross-section $[p, q]$ and let S be the set of curves crossing $[p, q]$ except for p and q. Then S form an open point set and the condition $K|C|L$ is equivalent to the condition that C is contained in S.*

Moreover, there is a homeomorphism $\varphi : \mathbb{R} \times [0, 1] \to K \cup S \cup L$ such that $K = \phi(\mathbb{R} \times 0)$, $L = \phi(\mathbb{R} \times 1)$, and $\phi(\mathbb{R} \times t)$ is a curve belonging to $\Im$ for all $t \in (0, 1)$.

Proof. First we need the following lemma.

Lemma 1. *Let $[p, q]$ be a cross-section of $\Im$. Then the restriction of f to $[p, q]$ is strictly monotone. In particular, $[p, q]$ intersects each curve in $\Im$ in at most one point.*

Proof. Suppose there exists a point $x \in [p, q]$ distinct from p and q and being a local extreme of $f|_{[p,q]}$. Let $c = f(x)$. As mentioned above the embedding $f^{-1}(c) \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ is proper, therefore

(i) $f^{-1}(c)$ divides $\mathbb{R}^2$ into two connected components, say R_1 and R_2 and
(ii) there exists an r-neighbourhood U of x relatively to $\Im$ such that $U \cap f^{-1}(c)$ is a connected curve dividing U into two components, say U_1 and U_2, such that $U_1 \subset R_1$ and $U_2 \subset R_2$.

Not loosing generality, we can assume that $[p, q] \subset U$ so that $[p, q] \setminus \{x\}$ consists of two half-open arcs $[p, x) \subset U_1$ and $(x, q] \subset U_2$. It follows that x is an *isolated* local extreme of the restriction of $f|_{[p,q]}$, whence there exist $y \in [p, x) \subset R_1$ and $z \in (x, q] \subset R_2$ such that

$f(y) = f(z) \neq f(c)$. Thus $y, z \in f^{-1}(f(y)) \subset \mathbb{R}^2 \setminus f^{-1}(c) = R_1 \cup R_1$. By (1) $f^{-1}(f(y))$ is connected, and so both y and z belong either to R_1 or to R_2. This gives a contradiction, whence x is not a local extreme of f. □

For $[c, d] \subset \mathbb{R}$ denote $D_{c,d} = f^{-1}[c, d]$. Then it follows from Lemma 1 and Theorem 2 that for each cross-section $[p, q]$ there exists a homeomorphism

$$\varphi : \mathbb{R} \times [f(p), f(q)] \longrightarrow f^{-1}[f(p), f(q)] = D_{f(p),f(q)}$$

such that $f \circ \varphi(x, y) = y$ for all $(x, y) \in \mathbb{R} \times [f(p), f(q)]$.

This also implies that the image $f(\mathbb{R}^2)$ is an open and path connected subset of $\mathbb{R}$, i.e. an open interval (a, b), where a and b can be infinite.

Hence we can find a countable strictly increasing sequence $\{c_i\}_{i\in\mathbb{Z}} \subset \mathbb{R}$ such that $\lim\limits_{k\to-\infty} c_i = a$, $\lim\limits_{k\to+\infty} c_k = b$, and for each $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ a homeomorphism

$$\varphi_k : \mathbb{R} \times [c_k; c_{k+1}] \longrightarrow f^{-1}[c_k, c_{k+1}] = D_{c_k,c_{k+1}}$$

satisfying $f \circ \varphi_k(x, y) = y$.

Define a homeomorphism $\varphi : \mathbb{R} \times (a, b) \to \mathbb{R}^2$ as follows. Set

$$\varphi(x, y) = \varphi_0(x, y), \qquad (x, y) \in \mathbb{R} \times [c_0, c_1].$$

Now if φ is defined on $\mathbb{R} \times [c_{k-1}, c_k]$ for some $k \geq 1$, then extend it to $\mathbb{R} \times [c_k, c_{k+1}]$ by

$$\varphi(x, y) = \varphi_k(\varphi_k^{-1} \circ \varphi(x, c_k), y), \qquad (x, y) \in \mathbb{R} \times [c_k, c_{k+1}].$$

Similarly, one can extend φ to $\mathbb{R} \times (a, c_0]$. It easily follows that φ is a homeomorphism satisfying $f \circ \varphi(x, y) = y$, $(x, y) \in \mathbb{R} \times (a, b)$. □

References

1. V. I. Arnold, *Topological classification of Morse polynomials. Differential equations and topology.I*, Tr. Mat. Inst. Steklova. 2010, 40–55.
2. W. Kaplan, *Regular curve-families filling the plane I*, Duke Math. J. **7** (1941), 154–185.
3. J. A. Jenkins, M. Morse, *Contour equivalent pseudoharmonic functions and pseudoconjugates*, Amer. J. Math. **74** (1952), 23–51
4. J. A. Jenkins, M. Morse, *Topological methods on Riemann surfaces. Pseudoharmonic functions. Contributions to the theory of Riemann surfaces*, pp. 111–139. Annals of Mathematics Studies, no. 30. Princeton University Press, Princeton, N. J., 1953.
5. J. A. Jenkins, M. Morse, *Conjugate nets on an open Riemann surface*. Lectures on functions of a complex variable, pp. 123–185. The University of Michigan Press, Ann Arbor, 1955.
6. M. Morse, *The topology of pseudo-harmonic functions*, Duke Math. J. **13** (1946), 21–42.
7. M. Morse, *Topological methods in the theory of functions of a complex variable*, Annals of Math. Studies, No. 15. Princeton Univ. Press, Princeton, N. J., 1947. 145 pp.
8. A. A. Oshemkov, *Morse functions on two-dimensional surfaces. Coding features*, Tr. Mat. Inst. Steklova. (1994), 131–140.
9. E. Polulyakh, I. Yurchuk, *On the preudo-harmonic functions defined on a disk*, Proceedings of the Institute of Mathematics of NAS of Ukraine **80** (2009), 151 pp..
10. V. V. Sharko, *Smooth and topological equivalence of functions on surfaces*, Ukr. math. journal **55** (2003), no. 5., 687–700.
11. V. V. Sharko, *Topological equivalence of harmonic polynomials*, Proceedings of the Institute of Mathematics of NAS of Ukraine **10** (2013), no. 4-5., 542–551.

Institute of Mathematics National Academy of Sciences of Ukraine,
E-mail address: sharko@imath.kiev.ua

Taras Shevchenko National University of Kiev
E-mail address: soroka.yulya@ukr.net